



Level 13, Mid City Tower, 139 Willis Street, Wellington 6011  
PO Box 11649, Manners Street, Wellington 6142, New Zealand  
Telephone: 64 4 381 6816 Facsimile: 64 4 802 4831  
Email: gfraser@hpdt.org.nz  
Website: www.hpdt.org.nz

**IN THE MATTER** of the Health Practitioners  
Competence Assurance Act 2003

**AND**

**IN THE MATTER** of disciplinary proceedings against  
**Mr E** of x, Registered Dental  
Technician

**MINUTE OF THE HEALTH PRACTITIONERS DISCIPLINARY TRIBUNAL**

1. The substantive hearing in relation to this matter took place on 30 May 2013.
2. There is one outstanding matter which needs to be addressed.
3. At an initial timetabling conference held on 1 March 2013 (attended by Counsel for the PCC, but not by Mr E) so as to protect the rights of Mr E an interim order of name suppression was made, until further order of the Tribunal.
4. It had been intended that the status of this order would be reviewed at the time of the hearing.
5. Mr E joined the hearing by telephone, but this issue was not addressed and needs to be.
6. In short, the Tribunal requests Mr E to advise whether he requests the interim order of non-publication of name and identifying details to be made permanent.

7. If so, he will need to:
  - 7.1. Confirm to the Tribunal that he is making an application for a permanent order of non-publication of name and identifying details.
  - 7.2. Provide reasons as to why such an order should be made.
8. Mr E should be aware that permanent orders of non-publication of name and identifying details are not made by the Tribunal automatically. The position is governed by section 95 of the Health Practitioners Competence Assurance Act 2003; reasons as to why such an application is being made must be advanced for the Tribunal to consider.
9. Mr E is requested to respond to the Tribunal on these matters within seven days; Counsel for the PCC will then have a further seven days within which to advise the Tribunal of the PCC's position on the issue, if Mr E does seek a permanent order.
10. The Tribunal will then determine any issue arising at that time on the papers.
11. For the avoidance of any doubt, if no application is made by Mr E as above, the Tribunal will discharge the interim order previously made, this being consistent with the principles identified in *T v Director of Proceedings* (Pankhurst J, 21 February 2006, CIV-2005-409-002244).

**DATED** at Wellington this 7<sup>th</sup> day of June 2013

.....  
B A Corkill QC  
Chairperson  
Health Practitioners Disciplinary Tribunal